

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 14 NOV 2001

WIPO

PCT

14

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1797.036PC01		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/US00/18200	International filing date (day/month/year) 30 June 2000 (30.06.2000)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30 June 1999 (30.06.1999)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): H04B 10/00, 10/12, 10/10, 10/18, 17/00 and US Cl.: 359/140, 138, 135, 158, 154			
Applicant CHRISTOPHER C. DAVIS			
<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>7</u> sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of <u>0</u> sheets.</p> <p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited VII <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application 			
Date of submission of the demand 25 January 2001 (25.01.2001)		Date of completion of this report 24 September 2001 (24.09.2001)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230		Authorized officer Jason Chan <i>Jason Chan</i> Telephone No. (703)305-3900	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/US00/18200

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

- ☒ the international application as originally filed.
- ☒ the description:
pages 1-37 as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the claims:
pages 38-40, as originally filed
pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☒ the drawings:
pages 1-23, as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:
pages NONE, as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE, filed with the letter of _____.

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in printed form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☒ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☒ the description, pages NONE
- ☒ the claims, Nos. NONE
- ☒ the drawings, sheets/fig NONE

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International Application No.
PCT/US00/18200

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. STATEMENT

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1-8</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-8</u>	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-8</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Franck.

Regarding Claims 1 and 5, Franck teaches a method for optical communication comprising the steps of receiving a source data signal having data (reference numeral 50 in Figure 14), creating a set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals, modulating the data signals onto a light signal, each light signal having a modulation representation of the data from the source data signal, whereby the set of light signals can pass through uncorrelated channels in a turbulent medium (column 3 lines 14-21, column 4 lines 43-54, and Figure 14). Franck differs from the claimed invention in that Franck fails to specifically teach that the transmission signals are converted into light signals. However, it is clear from Figure 14 and from the use of a modulator that Franck's purpose is to encode a light signal by modulation with a pair of temporally distinguishable signals (see claim 1).

Regarding Claims 2 and 6, Franck teaches that the temporally distinguishable light signals are combined into a single output transmission beam through a turbulent medium (inherent function of a MZ modulator and apparent in Figure 14).

Regarding Claims 3 and 7, Franck teaches the limitations of these claims, (see discussion of claim 1 above and column 3 lines 14-21, column 4 lines 43-54, and Figure 14)

Claims 4 and 8 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Franck in view of Bennett.

Regarding Claims 4 and 8, Franck teaches the method of claim 1, but fails to teach receiving the single output transmission beam after it has passed through a turbulent medium, detecting temporally distinguishable light signals within the received single output transmission beam to obtain a corresponding temporally distinguishable data signals, temporally adjusting each temporally distinguishable data signals obtained in said detecting step and logically evaluating bits in each of said temporally adjusted temporally distinguishable data signals to obtain a single output data signal. However, Bennett teaches receiving temporally distinguishable light signals, detecting temporally distinguishable light signals within the received single output transmission beam to obtain corresponding temporally distinguishable data signals, temporally adjusting each temporally distinguishable data signals obtained in said detecting step and logically evaluating bits in each of said temporally adjusted temporally distinguishable data signals to obtain a single output data signal (column 9 lines 35-56 and seen in Figure 3 numerals 11-16). It is apparent from the teachings of Bennett that the receiver claimed by the applicant is well known in the art. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine the receiver of Bennett with the transmitter of Franck in order to transmit and receiver temporally distinguishable information without loss of signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a receiver to receive a temporally distinguishable light signal transmitted by the transmitter of Franck to accommodate the transfer of temporally distinguishable information.

NEW CITATIONS

US 5,917,638A (FRANCK et al) 29 June 1999, Fig 14

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International Application No.


PCT/US00/18200

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

Claims 1, 4, 5, and 8 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(iii) as containing the following defect(s) in the form or contents thereof: the applicant states in claims 1 and 5, "to obtain corresponding a set of temporally distinguishable light signals". Claim 4 and 8 contain the error "obtaine din said."

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:
ROBERT E. SOKOHL
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN &
FOX P.L.L.C.
1100 NEW YORK AVE., N.W., STE. 600
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of Mailing
(day/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

1797.036PC01

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/US00/18200

International filing date (day/month/year)

30 June 2000 (30.06.2000)

Priority date (day/month/year)

30 June 1999 (30.06.1999)

Applicant

CHRISTOPHER C. DAVIS

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.
4. **REMINDER**

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

DOCKETED

Chp II NP (12/30/01) 11/30/01

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Authorized officer

Jason Chan

Telephone No. (703)305-3900

Form PCT/IPEA/416 (July 1992)

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:
ROBERT E. SOKOHL
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN &
FOX P.L.L.C.
1100 NEW YORK AVE., N.W., STE. 600
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934

PCT
NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of Mailing
(day/month/year)

09 NOV 2001

Applicant's or agent's file reference

1797.036PC01

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/US00/18200

30 June 2000 (30.06.2000)

30 June 1999 (30.06.1999)

Applicant

CHRISTOPHER C. DAVIS

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.
4. **REMINDER**

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Authorized officer

Jason Chan


Telephone No. (703)305-3900

Form PCT/IPEA/416 (July 1992)

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1797.036PC01		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/US00/18200	International filing date (day/month/year) 30 June 2000 (30.06.2000)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30 June 1999 (30.06.1999)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): H04B 10/00, 10/12, 10/10, 10/18, 17/00 and US Cl.: 359/140, 138, 135, 158, 154			
Applicant CHRISTOPHER C. DAVIS			
<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>4</u> sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of <u>0</u> sheets.</p> <p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited VII <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application 			
Date of submission of the demand 25 January 2001 (25.01.2001)		Date of completion of this report 24 September 2001 (24.09.2001)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230		Authorized officer Jason Chan  Telephone No. (703)305-3900	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International Application No.
PCT/US00/18200

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. STATEMENT

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1-8</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-8</u>	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-8</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Franck.

Regarding Claims 1 and 5, Franck teaches a method for optical communication comprising the steps of receiving a source data signal having data (reference numeral 50 in Figure 14), creating a set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals, modulating the data signals onto a light signal, each light signal having a modulation representation of the data from the source data signal, whereby the set of light signals can pass through uncorrelated channels in a turbulent medium (column 3 lines 14-21, column 4 lines 43-54, and Figure 14). Franck differs from the claimed invention in that Franck fails to specifically teach that the transmission signals are converted into light signals. However, it is clear from Figure 14 and from the use of a modulator that Franck's purpose is to encode a light signal by modulation with a pair of temporally distinguishable signals (see claim 1).

Regarding Claims 2 and 6, Franck teaches that the temporally distinguishable light signals are combined into a single output transmission beam through a turbulent medium (inherent function of a MZ modulator and apparent in Figure 14).

Regarding Claims 3 and 7, Franck teaches the limitations of these claims, (see discussion of claim 1 above and column 3 lines 14-21, column 4 lines 43-54, and Figure 14)

Claims 4 and 8 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Franck in view of Bennett.

Regarding Claims 4 and 8, Franck teaches the method of claim 1, but fails to teach receiving the single output transmission beam after it has passed through a turbulent medium, detecting temporally distinguishable light signals within the received single output transmission beam to obtain a corresponding temporally distinguishable data signals, temporally adjusting each temporally distinguishable data signals obtained in said detecting step and logically evaluating bits in each of said temporally adjusted temporally distinguishable data signals to obtain a single output data signal. However, Bennett teaches receiving temporally distinguishable light signals, detecting temporally distinguishable light signals within the received single output transmission beam to obtain corresponding temporally distinguishable data signals, temporally adjusting each temporally distinguishable data signals obtained in said detecting step and logically evaluating bits in each of said temporally adjusted temporally distinguishable data signals to obtain a single output data signal (column 9 lines 35-56 and seen in Figure 3 numerals 11-16). It is apparent from the teachings of Bennett that the receiver claimed by the applicant is well known in the art. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine the receiver of Bennett with the transmitter of Franck in order to transmit and receiver temporally distinguishable information without loss of signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a receiver to receive a temporally distinguishable light signal transmitted by the transmitter of Franck to accommodate the transfer of temporally distinguishable information.

NEW CITATIONS

US 5,917,638A (FRANCK et al) 29 June 1999, Fig 14

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/US00/18200

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

Claims 1, 4, 5, and 8 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(iii) as containing the following defect(s) in the form or contents thereof: the applicant states in claims 1 and 5, "to obtain corresponding a set of temporally distinguishable light signals". Claim 4 and 8 contain the error "obtaine din said."

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
25 January 2001 (25.01.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/06663 A2

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: H04B

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/18200

(22) International Filing Date: 30 June 2000 (30.06.2000)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/141,587 30 June 1999 (30.06.1999) US

(71) Applicant and

(72) Inventor: DAVIS, Christopher, C. [US/US]; 6400
Grayson Terrace, Bowie, MD 20715 (US).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).


Published:

— Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.

(74) Agents: SOKOHL, Robert, E. et al.; Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C., Suite 600, 1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20005-3934 (US).

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR OPTICAL WIRELESS COMMUNICATION



(57) Abstract: A system and method for optical wireless communication with fading resistance. A delayed diversity approach reduces fading significantly. Data is sent in a set of light signals (also called diverse light signals) which each have a different polarization and/or a different wavelength. The diverse light signals are also temporally different in that they are transmitted in a delayed fashion with respect to one another. In this way, each light signal is carried over a different, uncorrelated "channel" through the atmosphere. At a receiver, original data in each received light signals is temporally adjusted and combined into a single output data signal. The accuracy of each bit in the output data signal is then due to the reception of the combined diverse light signals. In this way, even if fading occurs in one channel (effecting one diverse light signal), a combined output data signal can still be generated at relatively high accuracy from the original data carried in one or more of the other diverse light signals.

WO 01/06663 A2

System and Method for Optical Wireless Communication

This application claims the benefit of priority to U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 06/141,587, filed June 30, 1999, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

5

Background of the Invention

Statement as to Rights to Inventions Made Under Federally-Sponsored Research and Development

10

Part of the work performed during development of this invention utilized U.S. Government funds (NSA Contract No. MDA 904 97 C 3570). The U.S. Government has certain rights in this invention.

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to optical communication.

Related Art

15

Optical communication is in increasing demand. In optical communication, digital data is carried in an optical signal. The digital data consists of bits of information (e.g., "1s" and "0s"). Such data is transmitted in an optical signal using modulation. Examples of modulation which can be used in optical communication are modulation based on amplitude, frequency, phase, polarization, or a combination thereof.

20

25

Communication between a source node and destination node is conducted through one or more links. A link can include logical and/or physical layers to support data transfer. An optical wireless communication system includes a physical layer with an optical wireless link. An optical wireless link can be any optical link through a turbulent medium such as, the atmosphere. For example, an optical wireless link can include, but is not limited to, an optical line of sight (LOS) link that uses a transmitter, such as, a laser or light-emitting diode (LED).

Data is transmitted on the emitted beam using some form of amplitude, frequency, phase, or polarization modulation, or a combination thereof.

Optical wireless links are increasingly popular as they can provide relatively low cost, high performance communications over short distances - typically up to a few kilometers at sea level. Other advantages of optical wireless links are: no FCC spectrum allocation is required for their use, they have a high degree of physical security because of the directionality of the transmitted beam, the communication channel infrastructure exists with a low probability of detection, and easy set-up and removal. Optical wireless links have a further advantage in that no communication channel infrastructure such as, optical fibers, needs to be installed. Of course, an optical wireless link can be a separate stand alone path between a source node and destination node or it can be combined with any other type of conventional communication link, such as, a fiber link, RF link, or wired link.

In an optical wireless link, the optical beam passes from transmitter to receiver along a path through the atmosphere. Since the atmosphere is a turbulent medium the optical wavefronts are distorted in passing from transmitter to receiver. Such fluctuations in received power are unpredictable and can result in the loss of data (that is, "1" bits can be detected as "0" bits). This fluctuation problem is known as fading. Because of the potential for fading, there is some probability that the fluctuating received intensity will fall below a threshold value and lead to unacceptable bit error rates on an optical wireless link.

Different approaches can be used to reduce fading. One approach is to simply re-send packets of data. In this case, if data does not arrive satisfactorily, then it is re-transmitted. Unfortunately, this scheme may significantly reduce the overall data transfer rate and increase system complexity. Other approaches include the following:

- (1) Increasing the transmitter power, which is not always possible because of electrical power requirements and eye-safety issues;
- (2) Increasing the effective size of the receiver, which may not always be possible because fast optical receivers are generally of small size, and keeping

light collected over an aperture (lens, mirror, or non-imaging light collector) focused on a small detector may require active tracking, or over-filling of the detector;

5 (3) Introducing spatial diversity, which amounts to sending several optical beams from transmitter to receiver along generally parallel paths, which are hopefully uncorrelated with regard to turbulent effects in the beams traveling along each path; and

(4) Introducing phase scrambling at the transmitter, which can reduce the degree of scintillation at the receiver.

10 These approaches, however, have limitations such as complexity or additional cost. Also, despite all these approaches, at some level fading will remain.

What is needed is a method and system for optical wireless link communication that reduces fading.

Summary of the Invention

15 The present invention provides a system and method for optical wireless communication with fading resistance. A delayed diversity approach is used to reduce fading significantly. Data is sent in a set of light signals (also called diverse light signals) which each have a different polarization and/or a different wavelength. The diverse light signals are also temporally different in that they
20 are transmitted in a delayed fashion with respect to one another. In this way, each light signal is carried over a different, uncorrelated "channel" through the atmosphere. At a receiver, original data in each received light signals is temporally adjusted and combined into a single output data signal.

25 The accuracy of each bit in the output data signal is then due to the reception of the combined diverse light signals. In this way, even if fading occurs in one channel (effecting one diverse light signal), a combined output data signal can still be generated at relatively high accuracy from the original data carried in one or more of the other diverse light signals. The other one or more light signals

pass through the different respective temporal channels in the atmosphere and therefore are not likely to experience the same fading condition.

In one embodiment, a method for optical wireless communication is provided. The method includes the steps of receiving a source data signal having data, creating a set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals, and converting the set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals to obtain corresponding a set of temporally distinguishable light signals. Each light signal has a modulation representation of the data from the source data signal. In this way, the set of light signals carrying multiple copies of the data can pass through uncorrelated channels in a turbulent medium. A transmitting step is added to transmit the set of temporally distinguishable light signals in a single output transmission beam through the turbulent medium.

At a receiving end of an optical wireless link, the following steps are performed. The method includes receiving the single output transmission beam after it has passed through a turbulent medium; detecting temporally distinguishable light signals within the received single output transmission beam to obtain corresponding temporally distinguishable data signals; temporally adjusting each temporally distinguishable data signals obtained in the detecting step; and logically evaluating bits in each of said temporally adjusted temporally distinguishable data signals to obtain a single output data signal.

In another embodiment, a system for optical wireless communication is provided. The system includes means for receiving a source data signal having data; means for creating a set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals; and means for converting the set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals to obtain corresponding a set of temporally distinguishable light signals, each light signal having a modulation representation of the data from the source data signal; whereby, the set of light signals can pass through uncorrelated channels in a turbulent medium. The system can also include a transmitter means for transmitting the set of temporally distinguishable light signals in a single output transmission beam through a turbulent medium.

In an embodiment at a receiver side, the system can include means for receiving the single output transmission beam after it has passed through a turbulent medium; means for detecting temporally distinguishable light signals within the received single output transmission beam to obtain corresponding temporally distinguishable data signals; means for temporally adjusting each obtained temporally distinguishable data signal obtained; and means for logically evaluating bits in each of said temporally adjusted temporally distinguishable data signals to obtain a single output data signal.

Further embodiments include communications systems that utilize amplitude modulation, polarization modulation, frequency modulation, or any combination of these or other modulation techniques.

Further features and advantages of the present invention, as well as the structure and operation of various embodiments of the present invention, are described in detail below with reference to the accompanying drawings.

Brief Description of the Figures

The accompanying drawings, which are incorporated herein and form part of the specification, illustrate the present invention and, together with the description, further serve to explain the principles of the invention and to enable a person skilled in the pertinent art to make and use the invention.

In the drawings:

FIG. 1A is an illustration of the relationship between the environment in which the present invention operates and conventional layers of communications systems.

FIG. 1B is a diagram of a bi-directional full-duplex optical wireless communication system including an optical wireless link in an example of the present invention.

FIG. 1C is a diagram of an optical wireless communication system including two uni-directional optical wireless links in an example of the present invention.

FIG. 2 is an illustration of a communications system according to the present invention.

FIGs. 3A and 3B are illustrations of a communications system according to the present invention that utilizes polarization division.

5 FIGs. 4A and 4B are illustrations of a communications system according to the present invention that utilizes frequency division.

FIGs. 5A and 5B are illustrations of a communications system according to the present invention that utilizes both frequency and polarization division.

10 FIG. 6 is an illustration of a routine for optical communication through a turbulent medium.

FIGs. 7A-7P are diagrams related to theoretical discussion and/or test results according to example implementations of the present invention.

15 FIG. 7A is a diagram plotting the fraction of the time that a fade of a given depth will occur for various levels of intensity variance according to one example fading model.

FIG. 7B is a plot of BER as a function of threshold setting.

FIGs. 7C, 7D, 7E, and 7F show calculations of BER for different levels of intensity variance, and for different settings of the threshold setting for detection of a "one".

20 FIG. 7G shows experimental data from experiments carried out on the University of Maryland 1km test range.

FIGs. 7H-7N show additional data recorded at the University of Maryland test range.

25 FIGs. 7O and 7P show aperture averaging calculations for the following optical link conditions: a 1.3 μ m laser and a 1km range. FIG. 7O shows aperture averaging for three different degrees of "weak" turbulence. FIG. 7P shows aperture averaging for three different degrees of "strong" turbulence.

30 The present invention will now be described with reference to the accompanying drawings. In the drawings, like reference numbers indicate identical or functionally similar elements. Additionally, the left-most digit(s) of

a reference number typically identifies the drawing in which the reference number first appears.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments

Overview

5 A "delayed-diversity" communication technique is provided that reduces fading. This technique takes advantage of the changes in the characteristics of the atmospheric path between the transmitter and the receiver. According to the present invention, a set of delayed diversity signals are generated and used to carry information from an original data signal over an optical wireless link. By
10 introducing a delay during a multiple data stream transmission, the probability of simultaneous errors in the streams is markedly reduced. Although the delayed diversity scheme introduces a latency into communication over an optical wireless link, data arrives continuously at the receiver. This latency is generally of no consequence for data transfer and can be managed to be short enough so as
15 to be unnoticeable during voice communication.

 The set of diverse lights signals can be made diverse, that is, distinguishable from one another based on a polarization and/or wavelength. Such polarization and wavelength diversity can be used separately or combined in various ways: one or more wavelengths, each with up to two orthogonal
20 polarization states (e.g., s and p polarization) can be used. Two orthogonal polarization states can be used to provide clock recovery by transmitting, for example, all the "ones" in a bit stream vertically polarized and all the "zeros" horizontally polarized. Various error-correcting codes can be incorporated into two or more channels (polarization plus wavelength diversity) to provide
25 improvements in performance.

 In one embodiment, a full duplex system incorporating polarization diversity is implemented. A receiver/transmitter (also called a transceiver) module sends one polarization and receives the same polarization, an orthogonal

polarization, or both. Different wavelengths, for example UV, visible, near-infrared, and middle-infrared can be used to overcome atmospheric attenuation caused by scattering (aerosols, fog, rain, etc) and absorption (pollutants). In one example, different infra-red wavelengths in common infrared windows such as 1.3 micron and 1.5 micron windows are used.

For example, according to the present invention, a data stream (represented as a string of "ones" and "zeros" in an on-off-keyed (OOK) optical beam) is transmitted in orthogonal polarization states, separate wavelengths, or both, but with an appropriate time delay between two channels. The received data streams are interleaved (that is synchronized and combined) after reintroduction of the same delay into the opposite channel. A significant reduction in fractional fade time results. Since atmosphere turbulence has a power spectrum roughly below 1 kHz, with predominant frequencies in the tens of Hz range, over times of 10 milliseconds (ms) or longer the turbulence effects along the path of an optical beam are uncorrelated.

Example Environment

The present invention relates to optical wireless communication. The present invention is described with respect to one example environment of optical wireless communication provided in a computer networking environment.

FIG. 1A is a diagram of an optical wireless communication system 100 that includes an optical wireless link 110 in an example networking environment of the present invention. Communication including data transfer is carried out between two nodes 120, 130. Each node 120, 130 includes a number of layers 1 to N, where N is an integer equal to or greater than 1. The layers are used to support to functionality required to carry out different services and protocols.

In one embodiment, layer 1 is the physical layer. Layer 1 includes the hardware in the present invention, including, a transmitter and/or receiver as described further below. The other higher layers 2 through N can include, but are not limited to, layers supporting packet-switched communication such as, any

one or more of a network interface layer, Internet layer, Transport layer, and an Application layer. In one example, a suite of protocols, such as, Transmission Control Protocol/ Internet protocol (TCP/IP) as used in the Internet can be included. Communication according to other standards and protocols can be used, including but not limited to, Ethernet, Asynchronous Transfer Mode(ATM),
5 Frame Relay, CDMA, etc.

FIGs. 1B and 1C illustrate architectures of hardware in layer 1 according to embodiments of the present invention. FIG. 1B is a diagram of a bi-directional full-duplex optical wireless communication system having a first transceiver 140
10 at node 120 and a second transceiver 150 at node 130. Each transceiver 140, 150 is coupled to optical wireless link 110. Transceiver 140 can include one or more transmitters and one or more receivers for sending and receiving a set of delayed diversity light signals. Optical wireless link 110 is any portion of an optical communications link that passes light signals through a turbulent medium. In one
15 example implementation, transceiver 140 can be integrated on a single optical-electronic monolithic device to form a compact device. Likewise, transceiver 150 can be integrated on a single optical-electronic monolithic device to form a compact device.

FIG. 1C is a diagram of an optical wireless communication system where optical wireless link 110 includes two uni-directional optical wireless links 110a,
20 110b. A transmitter 160 transmits a first set of delayed diversity light signals over optical wireless link 110a to a receiver 170. Similarly, a transmitter 180 transmits a first set of delayed diversity light signals over optical wireless link 110b to a receiver 190.

Also, the present invention improves fade resistance and decreases bit error rate for data transfer over optical wireless link 110. In one sense, the present invention represents a hardware solution for improving bit error rate. Any other conventional technique for further improving bit error rate can also be used in
25 nodes 120 and 130, in combination with the present invention. For example any coding technique such as, those involving Reed-Solomon codes, Turbo codes,
30

Viterbi codes, or concatenated codes can be used. Of course, these examples are illustrative and not intended to necessarily limit the present invention.

Description in these terms is provided for convenience only. It is not intended that the invention be limited to application in this example environment. In fact, after reading the following description, it will become apparent to a person skilled in the relevant art how to implement the invention in alternative environments.

Delayed Diversity Optical Wireless Communication Embodiments

FIG. 2 is an illustration of a communications system 201 according to the present invention. Data to be transmitted by communications system 201 is represented as source data 210. Source data 210 can be digital data representative of any desired information. Source data 210 is a return-to-zero signal according to an embodiment of the present invention, though other types of data signals can be used without departing from the scope of the present invention. Source data 210 is processed by a delay duplicator 220 within communications system 201. Delay duplicator 220 receives as an input the source data 210, and produces an output that includes a set of transmission signals. At least one of the set of transmission signals corresponds to the source data itself, while at least another of the set of transmission signals corresponds to the source data delayed a first time, t_1 . Thus, the set of transmission signals includes at least two transmission signals that can be temporally distinguished from one another. In other words, one of the transmission signals corresponds a delayed version of the other transmission signal in a system that includes two transmission signals. More than two transmission signals can be used, as will be discussed more fully below.

By introducing the delay t_1 into one of the transmission signals, the communications system 201 will ultimately transmit the same signal twice, at two different times. The temporal separation between the two transmissions will thus be equal to t_1 . While a turbulent medium may introduce an error into an optical communication, such a medium is always in flux and so the likelihood of errors

at two different times is not generally correlated. Thus, if $P(T)$ is the likelihood of an error at any given time T , then the likelihood of error for the same piece of data in two temporally displaced data signals will approach $P(T)^2$, as long as the two signals are displaced by a sufficient amount of time. So, for example, if the error rate of a particular optical communications channel is a relatively unacceptable 10^{-4} , the use of a duplicated signal with an appropriate delay can reduce this error rate to the more acceptable level of 10^{-8} . The present inventor has discovered that with a 1 millisecond delay, the likelihood of error at the same data point in two temporally separated signals begins to diminish significantly. Thus, the delay t_d introduced by the delay duplicator is at least approximately 1 millisecond according to the present invention. Preferably, the delay t_d is between approximately 1 millisecond and approximately 10 milliseconds. More preferably, the present inventor has discovered that the delay t_d should be between approximately 5 and approximately 10 milliseconds. Thus, the delay duplicator 220 produces an output that includes a set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals.

The set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals are converted into optical signals by a converter 230. Such conversion is accomplished through modulation of one or more light sources with the transmission signals resulting in a set of light signals corresponding to the temporally distinguishable transmission signals. Such modulation can include amplitude, frequency, phase, or polarization modulation, or a combination of these. In addition to modulation, one or more division techniques can be used such as two light sources with different frequencies, polarizations, etc. Such a light source can include a laser or LED beam. For example, a 1.3 micron (μ) or a 1.55 μ laser can be used as a light source. The laser can be a pig-tailed laser diode. The laser output can be boosted with an erbium doped fiber amplifier (EDFA) and can be collimated to prevent spreading as would be apparent to one skilled in the relevant art. The laser can be fiber coupled. Other light sources or modulation techniques could be used with departing from the scope of the present invention. For example, different wavelengths such as UV, visible, near-infrared, and middle-infrared can be used

to overcome atmospheric attenuation caused by scattering (from aerosols, fog, rain, etc.) as well as by absorption (from, for example, pollutants). Thus, the converter 230 receives the set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals as inputs and produces a set of light signals as outputs.

5 Transmitter 240 transmits the light signals received from converter 230. Such transmission includes the combination of the light signals from converter 230 into a single light beam. Such combination can be accomplished, for example, through the use of a polarizing beam splitter or a dichroic mirror, or a combination of the two, as will be described more fully below.

10 After transmission, the light signals are received by a receiver 250. The receiver separates the light beam back into separate light signals. Such separation can also be accomplished, for example, through the use of a polarizing beam splitter, a dichroic mirror, or a combination of the two, as will be described more fully below.

15 Once separated back into individual light signals, these signals are detected by detectors 260. Detectors 260 convert each light signal back into a received data signal in a manner that would be apparent to one skilled in the relevant art given this disclosure. The detectors 260 thus can include one detector for each light signal to be detected. Thus, the output of detectors 260 is a set of
20 received data signals that correspond to the set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals produced by delay duplicator 220. Ideally, the received data signals would be identical to the transmission signals. However, due to turbulence within the transmission medium, errors may be introduced as a result of fading. Nevertheless, since one of the two transmission signals was delayed,
25 the probability that a single bit was lost in both transmission signals is much less likely than the loss of any bit within one of the transmission signals. Thus, by creating a single output signal from the set of received data signals, the error rate can be significantly reduced. Such a single output signal is generated by synchronizer 270.

30 Synchronizer 270 receives received data signals from detectors 260. Synchronizer 270 introduces the necessary delays to the received data signals so

that they are temporally aligned with one another. For example, if the set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals generated by delay duplicator 220 includes two signals, a first transmission signal without a delay and a second transmission signal with a 3 millisecond delay, then synchronizer 270 introduces a 3 millisecond into the received data signal that corresponds to the first transmission signal. Thus, in this example, both of the received data signals should correspond to the original source data signal with a 3 millisecond delay. Once the appropriate delay or delays have been introduced, the received data signals are combined to produce a single output data signal. The synchronizer can use logic, for example an OR gate, to combine the signals. It is helpful to again consider the nature of the errors that to which the present invention is directed. Light transmission through a turbulent medium is susceptible to fading. Thus, a 1 (or high signal) is lost when the transmitted light fades below the minimum power required for the receiver. A lost 1 will thus be received as a 0. By temporally aligning the received signals and combining them with an OR gate, a bit that is a 1 in a first of the received data signals and a 0 in a second of the received data signals, will be represented as a 1 in the output data signal, since any reception of a 1 indicates that the signal was present. By combining a delayed signal and a non-delayed signal, the error rate due to fading can be significantly reduced.

While the communications system 201 of FIG. 2 has been described in terms of the use of a set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals including a delayed and a non-delayed data signal, more than two such transmission signals could be used. For example, the set of transmission signals could include a non-delayed transmission signal and three additional transmission signals delayed at times t_1 , t_2 , and t_3 , respectively. Such delay times could be 1, 2, and 3 milliseconds, respectively. Other delay times could be used without departing from the scope of the present invention. For example, the times could be 3, 6, and 9 milliseconds; 1, 5, and 10 milliseconds, or any other appropriate delay times. Moreover, while examples have now been given which include 2 and 4 temporally distinguishable transmission signals, any number of

temporally distinguishable delay signals could be used without departing from the scope of the present invention.

As described above, the communications system 201 of FIG. 2 reduces error in an optically transmitted signal by taking advantage of the non-correlation of error probability over a communications channel at two points in time separated by at least about 1 millisecond. While such a system with its associated method, discussed below, serves to reduce errors, other conventional error reduction techniques can also be used within such a system and method without departing from the scope of the present invention. For example, the use of packet-switching in a duplex link as well as coding techniques can also be used with the present invention to further reduce error, as would be apparent to one skilled in the relevant art given this description.

FIGs. 3A and 3B illustrate details of a communications system similar to that described in connection with FIG. 2, and which uses polarization modulation together with polarizing beam splitters in order to implement the present invention. FIG. 3A specifically illustrates the transmission side 301 of the communications system of FIGs. 3A and 3B. Initially, source data is duplicated into two identical source data signals 311, 312. In order to produce a set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals, one of the two source data signals is subject to a delay 320. As with the communications system 201 of FIG. 2, the delay 320 can be greater than approximately 1 millisecond, between approximately 1 millisecond and approximately 10 milliseconds, and preferably, can be between approximately 5 and approximately 10 milliseconds. Thus, the delay output 313 is simply a delayed version of source data signal 311. In this way, signals 312 and 313 constitute temporally distinguishable transmission signals.

At laser 1 330, transmission signal 313 is converted into a horizontally polarized optical signal 314. Laser 1 330 can be the type discussed above in connection with the communications system 201 of FIG. 2 and can include the additional components necessary to effectuate the conversion described, as would be apparent to one skilled in the relevant art. Modulation can be accomplished,

for example, with amplitude modulation, though other types of modulation can be used without departing from the scope of the present invention. Meanwhile, at laser 2 340 transmission signal 312 is converted into a vertically polarized optical signal 315. Signals 314 and 315 are polarized orthogonal to one another. Thus, signals 314 and 315 are polarization divided and amplitude modulated light signals representative of transmission signals 313 and 312, respectively.

Polarization beam splitter 350 serves to combine signals 314 and 315 into a single transmission beam 316. Polarization beam splitter 350 is of the type known to those skilled in the relevant art. Polarization beam splitter 350 allows one of the two polarized optical signals, for example signal 315, to pass through while an orthogonally polarized signal 314 is reflected off an internal surface and caused to be co-incident with the first signal 315. Thus, the output is a single transmission beam 316 that includes both optical signals 314 and 315.

FIG. 3B illustrates of the receiver side 302 of the communications system of FIGs. 3A and 3B. The input to the receiver side is reception beam 317. Reception beam 317 corresponds to transmission beam 316 after having passed through the a turbulent medium and so may differ from transmission beam 316 at least to the extent that fading has occurred. Reception beam 317 is input into a polarization beam splitter 355 similar to polarization beam splitter 350 used at the transmitter side 301. Thus, the polarization beam splitter 355 separates the reception beam 317 back into separate optical signals 318, 319 based on the polarization of those signals. Optical signals 318 and 319 are converted back into electrical signals by detectors 1 and 2, 360 and 365, respectively. Thus, signals 361 and 362 represent received data signals.

Delay 370 is introduced to received data signal 361 in order to produce a delayed received data signal 363. Delay 370 is substantially the same as delay 320, so that received data signal 363 is aligned with received data signal 362. Since delay 370 is introduced into to what was the vertically polarized optical signal 318, and since delay 320 was introduced to source data signal 311 prior to conversion to optical signal 314 with horizontal polarization, received data signal 362 and delayed received data signal 363 should correspond to received versions

of transmission data signals 311 and 312, respectively. Thus, by OR-ing signals 363 and 362 together at logic gate 380, an output data signal 390 can be produced. Since substantially the same delay was introduced at both ends of the communications system to a different one of the two originally identical signals 311 and 312, fading errors can be alleviated by combining the aligned received data signals 362, 363 together. Again, for the reasons discussed above, this is a result of non-correlation of the turbulence in an optical transmission path over time.

FIGs. 4A and 4B illustrate details of a communications system similar to that described in connection with FIG. 2, and which uses wavelength division together with dichroic mirrors in order to implement the present invention. The structure of FIGs. 4A and 4B generally corresponds to that of FIGs. 3A and 3B except for the details of wavelength division and beam combination and separation. Thus, like elements have been similarly numbered and will not be explained in detail again.

FIG. 4A specifically illustrates the transmission side 401 of the communications system of FIGs. 4A and 4B. As with the communications system of FIGs. 3A and 3B, the delay output 313 is simply a delayed version of source data signal 311. In this way, signals 312 and 313 constitute temporally distinguishable transmission signals.

At laser 1 430, transmission signal 313 is converted into an optical signal 414 with a wavelength of 1.55μ . Since, as discussed above, a communications system according to the present invention is implemented using a return-to-zero technique, modulation merely involves the turning on and off of laser 1 430, *i.e.*, amplitude modulation. While amplitude modulation is described in connection with the structure of FIGs. 4A and 4B, other types of modulation could be used without departing from the scope of the present invention. Laser 1 430 can be the type discussed above in connection with the communications system 201 of FIG. 2 and having a wavelength of 1.55μ . Moreover, laser 1 430 can include the additional components necessary to effectuate the conversion described, as would be apparent to one skilled in the relevant art. Meanwhile, at laser 2 440,

transmission signal 312 is converted into an optical signal 414 with a wavelength of $1.3\ \mu$. Thus, signals 414 and 415 are modulated light signals having the frequencies indicated and representative of transmission signals 313 and 312, respectively.

5 Dichroic mirror 450 serves to combine signals 414 and 415 into a single transmission beam 416. Dichroic mirror 450 is of the type known to those skilled in the relevant art. Dichroic mirror 450 allows one of the two optical signals having a first wavelength, for example signal 415, to pass through while the other signal having a second wavelength, for example signal 414 is reflected off an
10 internal surface and caused to be co-incident with the first signal 415. Thus, the output is a single transmission beam 416 that includes both optical signals 414 and 415.

FIG. 4B illustrates of the receiver side 402 of the communications system of FIGs. 4A and 4B. The input to the receiver side is reception beam 417.
15 Reception beam 417 corresponds to transmission beam 416 after having passed through the a turbulent medium and so may differ from transmission beam 416 at least to the extent that fading has occurred. Reception beam 417 is input into a dichroic mirror 455 similar to dichroic mirror 450 used at the transmitter side 401. Thus, the dichroic mirror 455 separates the reception beam 417 back into
20 separate optical signals 418, 419 based on the frequency of those signals. Optical signals 418 and 419 are converted back into electrical signals by detectors 1 and 2, 460 and 465, respectively. Thus, signals 461 and 462 represent received data signals.

Delay 370 is introduced to received data signal 461 in order to produce a
25 delayed received data signal 463. As discussed above in connection with FIGs. 3A and 3B, delay 370 is substantially the same as delay 320, so that received data signal 463 is aligned with received data signal 462. Since delay 370 is introduced into to what was optical signal 418, and since delay 320 was introduced to source data signal 311 prior to conversion to optical signal 414, received data signal 462
30 and delayed received data signal 463 should correspond to received versions of transmission data signals 311 and 312, respectively. Thus, by OR-ing signals 463

and 462 together at logic gate 380, an output data signal 390 can be produced in substantially the same manner as that discussed above in connection with the structure of FIGs. 3A and 3B.

5 While the structures of FIGs. 3A, 3B, 4A, and 4B illustrate embodiments that include a single delay signal and a single type of modulation, the present invention is not so limited. Multiple delayed signals and multiple types of modulation can be used without departing from the scope of the present invention. As an example, FIG. 5A illustrates a transmitter side 501 of a
10 communications system including a plurality of delayed signals and division schemes, while FIG. 5B illustrates a receiver side 502 of the same communications system.

In the transmitter 502 of FIG. 5A, data source 210 is duplicated into four data source signals, three of which are subject to delays of times t_1 , t_2 , and t_3 at delay elements 531, 532, and 533, respectively. By combining the techniques of
15 the structures explained above, four temporally distinguishable transmission signals can be combined into a single transmission beam through the use of lasers 1 520, 2 522, 3 523, and 4 524, two polarizing beam splitters 540, 541, and a single dichroic mirror 555, in the manner illustrated. While transmitter 501 illustrates one way of combining multiple wavelengths and polarizations, other
20 combinations would not depart from the scope of the present invention, as would be apparent to one skilled in the relevant art given this disclosure. For example, the receiver 502 of FIG. 5B illustrates a slightly different arrangement in order to show that various arrangements are possible.

Receiver 502 of FIG. 5B uses polarizing beam splitter 555, dichroic
25 mirrors 560 and 565, and detectors 1 571, 2 572, 3 573, and 4 574 to separate the received beam and convert it into received data signals in the manner as shown. In order to temporally align the received data signals, appropriate delays t_4 , t_5 , and t_6 , are introduced at delay elements 581, 582, and 583, respectively. Since the output of detector 1 571, corresponds to the original data signal subject to the
30 longest transmission delay (note that detector 1 corresponds to the horizontally polarized 1.55 μ optical signal originally subject to delay t_3) no delay is necessary.

Instead, the delay elements 581, 582, and 583, must be chosen such that the total delay associated to each received data signals is substantially equal to delay t_3 . As an example, if t_1 was 1 millisecond, t_2 was 2 milliseconds and t_3 was 3 milliseconds, t_4 should be 2 milliseconds since the horizontally polarized 1.3 μ optical signal corresponds to the source data signal subject to a 1 millisecond delay. Following the same logic, t_5 should be 1 millisecond since the vertically polarized 1.55 μ optical signal corresponds to the source data signal subject to a 2 millisecond delay. Finally, t_6 should be 3 milliseconds since the vertically polarized 1.3 μ optical signal corresponds to the source data signal that was not subject to delay.

Thus, once the appropriate delays are introduced, the received data signals can be OR-ed together at a logic gate 580 to produce output data 390. Since the number of signals, and thus the number of non-correlated transmission paths, is increased in the structure of FIGs. 5A and 5B, improved error reduction can be achieved even over that achieved by virtue of the single delay structures discussed above. Furthermore, the structure of FIGs. 5A and 5B is but one example of a multiple delay implementation of the present invention, other implementations with differing amounts of delay could be used without departing from the scope of the present invention, as would be apparent to one skilled in the relevant art.

FIG. 6 is an illustration of a process flow diagram of a routine for optical communication through a turbulent medium 600 according to the present invention. In a first step 610 of routine 600, a source data signal is input. Such a source data signal can be a digital signal representative of any desired information. A data rate of the source data signal is limited only by the switching speed of the various components used to implement routine 600, as would be apparent to one skilled in the relevant art. In a next step 620, a set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals is created. Such a set is created by creating at least one duplicate of the input source data signal and then adding an appropriate delay to one of the data signals within the set. Thus, the set of temporally distinguishable data signals can include a non-delayed signal and at least one additional signal distinguishable from the non-delayed signals through

the addition of an appropriate delay. Such an appropriate delay can be at least approximately 1 millisecond according to the present invention. Preferably, the delay is between approximately 1 millisecond and approximately 10 milliseconds. More preferably, the delay should be between approximately 5 and approximately 10 milliseconds. The set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals created in step 620 can include multiple delayed transmission signals with varying delay times.

In a next step 630, the set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals are converted to light signals. This step 630 can be performed through modulation of one or more light sources with the transmission signals from step 620 resulting in a set of light signals corresponding to the temporally distinguishable transmission signals. Such modulation can include amplitude, frequency, phase, or polarization modulation, or a combination of these. Such a light source can include a laser or LED beam. For example, a 1.3 micron (μ) or a 1.55 μ laser can be used as a light source. The laser can be a pig-tailed laser diode. The laser output can be boosted with an erbium doped fiber amplifier (EDFA) and can be collimated to prevent spreading as would be apparent to one skilled in the relevant art. The laser can be fiber coupled. Other light sources or modulation techniques could be used with departing from the scope of the present invention. Moreover, different wavelengths, polarizations, etc. can be used during step 630 in order to accomplish wavelength division, polarization division, etc.

In a next step 640 the light signals produced in step 640 are transmitted. Step 640 can include combining the light signals into a single transmission beam through the use of one or more polarizing beam splitters, dichroic mirrors, and the like. Beam steering can also be performed during the transmission step 640 in order to maintain contact with a receiver, as would be apparent to one skilled in the relevant art.

In a next step 650, the light signals transmitted in step 640 are received. The receiving step 640 can include separating a received beam into various

component received light signals through the used of one or more polarizing beam splitters, dichroic mirrors, and the like.

5 In a next step 660, the received light signals are detected to obtain corresponding received data signals. Such detection thus includes conversion of the light signal into an electrical signal with any additional steps necessary to produce a received data signal generally corresponding to one of the set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals created in step 620, above, as would be apparent to one skilled in the relevant art given this disclosure.

10 In a final step 670, the received data signals are temporally adjusted and combined to produce a single output data signal. Such temporal adjustment involves introducing appropriate delays into to the received data signals so as to temporally align the received data signals. Such temporal alignment can involve quartz controlled resynchronization with precise use of clock frequencies as would be apparent to one skilled in the art. Furthermore, headers can be placed
15 within the data signals as appropriate to aid in resynchronization. Once temporally aligned, the received data signals are combined to produce a single output data signal. This combination can be accomplished through the use of a logic gate. For example, the temporally aligned received data signals can be input to an OR-gate so as to produce a single output data signal. Other combination
20 techniques could be used without departing from the scope of the present invention.

Example Applications

25 One application of the present invention is in optical wireless communications for short-range, high data rate links as found in a local area network (LAN) or other type of network. The present invention can be used in packet-switched networks to achieve higher data transmission. The present invention can be used as a link in any type of public or proprietary network. The present invention can be a bridge between networks. The present invention can be used to bridge gaps in ground-based networks as well as ground-to-satellite

communications. For example, the present invention can be used to provide links
between different sites on different office buildings. This is especially helpful
where it is impractical to install fiber optic cable or other types of links due to
cost, regulation or physical impediments. In military applications, optical
5 wireless links as in the present invention can provide physically secure, covert,
low probability of detection communications. Optical wireless links as in the
present invention can further be used to carry outside television broadcasts, such
as, sporting events. No infra-structure cables need to be laid. The power
requirement of a compact optical link are low and battery-powered operation is
10 feasible.

Example Results

In example tests, the inventor has demonstrated that delayed diversity
significantly reduces fading on a 1 km test range using a 633 nm laser transmitter
working at eye-safe intensity levels.

Fading Models

It is helpful to consider theoretical issues associated with fading. These
theoretical issues and models of fading are provided to better understand the
advantages of the present invention and are not intended to limit the present
invention. These models do indicate the bit error rate performance changes by the
20 second power when the set of delayed diversity light signals has two light signals
and changes by the fourth power when the set of delayed diversity light signals
has four light signals.

Fading can be understood further as follows. If the average optical power
reaching the receiver is \bar{P} and the minimum received power for
adequate link performance is P_t , then for scintillations that cause the
25 instantaneous received power P to be below P_t , a fade results. The fraction of
observation time during which the link is in a "fade" condition depends on the

strength of the turbulence, as reflected in the statistics of turbulence induced fluctuation of P, P_r .

5 The fractional fade time can be computed for certain models of the turbulence, as is shown in an attached Appendix. It can be seen that the fractional fade time increases as the "link margin" $\bar{P} - P_r$ decreases, as the strength of the turbulence, characterized by the refractive index structure constant C_n^2 increases, and as the range increases.

Overview of Theories

10 It is helpful to review highlights of theories relevant to a practical analysis of potential line-of-sight optical communication links. Specific numerical results obtained from theory that help to put much of the generalized literature into specific context are discussed.

15 Although theoretically there are differences in the way the atmosphere perturbs plane waves, spherical waves, and focused laser beams, there is considerable similarity between many of the effects on the plane waves and collimated laser beams. Consider results that deal specifically with plane waves (In practice ideal plane waves do not exist, what is referred to here are finite plane waves transmitted from an aperture that is sufficiently large that the field amplitudes do not vary significantly over most of the aperture), and in the case of
20 laser beam wander, collimated laser beams. The field of such a wave propagating in the z direction can be represented at the transmitter as

$$E = E_0 e^{j(ax - kz)} \quad (1)$$

25 The magnitude of the wave vector k is $k=2\pi/\lambda$, where λ is the wavelength of the wave. For a plane wave E_0 is constant over the transmitter aperture, assume it is located in the plane $z=0$ and for a linearly polarized wave E assume it always points in the same direction. Then for a laser beam, the field distribution at the transmitter is

-24-

$$E(r) = E_0 e^{-r^2/w^2}, \quad (2)$$

5 where w is the spotsize at the transmitter. Because the atmosphere is not intrinsically chiral, left and right circularly polarized waves should be identically affected by turbulence so one does not expect any significant perturbation of the polarization state of a light wave that has propagated through turbulence. At a receiver aperture located a $z=L$, the electric field fluctuates in time and space because of turbulence. Because turbulence is a random process one cannot describe the electric field $E(z,r,t)$ deterministically. All that is possible is to consider various averages and correlation functions of the field. To do this something must be said about the statistical properties of the fluctuating density, temperature, or refractive index of the atmosphere. For the refractive index fluctuations this is done through the refractive index structure function $D_n(r)$ defined by

$$D_n(r) = \langle [n(r_1 + r) - n(r_1)]^2 \rangle, \quad (3)$$

15 where the $\langle \dots \rangle$ brackets indicate statistical averaging, and $r+r_1$ and r_1 are two points in the atmosphere separated by a distance $|r|$. For locally homogenous and isotropic turbulence (so-called Kolmogorov turbulence) the structure function only depends on the separation of the two observation points. According to the Kolmogorov-Obhukov two-thirds law, for separations that satisfy $l_0 \ll L_0$ are called the *inner* and *outer scales of turbulence*,

$$D_n(r) = C_n^2 r^{2/3} \quad (4)$$

25 In the atmosphere near the ground typically $l_0 \sim 1\text{mm}$ and $L_0 \sim 1\text{m}$, although L_0 varies with height above the ground. These scales can be thought of as the range of sizes of the "blobs" or "bubbles" of different refractive index that exist in the atmosphere. The smallest size is determined by viscous damping effects and the largest size by the spatial size of the large scale movement of the air as it flows. Separations that lie between l_0 and L_0 are said to be in the *internal sub-range*. The constant C_n^2 that appears in Eq. (4) is called the refractive index structure

-25-

constant, it is measured in units of $\text{m}^{-2/3}$ and is the most common parameter used to describe the strength of atmospheric turbulence. Its value near the ground typically varies from 10^{-16} to $10^{-13} \text{m}^{-2/3}$.

The Von Karman Spectrum

5 Because the spatial fluctuations in refractive index in the turbulent atmosphere are random, only statistical averages of their effect on a propagating wave can be calculated. It is usual to describe the statistical properties of the refractive index in terms of its Fourier transform into k space. This is equivalent to imagining at any instant that the spatial distribution of index in the atmosphere
10 can be decomposed into a three-dimensional distribution of periodic refractive index gratings with varying periodicities. The spectrum of the refractive index fluctuations is often represented by the Von Karman spectrum, which will be used for the calculations that will be described later. The form of the Von Karman spectrum that the inventor has used is

$$15 \quad \Phi_n(r, \kappa) = \frac{0.033 * C_n^2(r) \exp \left[- \left(\frac{\kappa l_0}{2\pi} \right)^2 \right]}{\left[\kappa^2 + L_0^{-2} \right]^{11/6}} \quad (5)$$

Frequently, for line-of-sight optical links that run parallel to the ground, the $C_n^2(r)$ parameter will be a constant along the path.

The Rytov Method

20 An established method for analyzing the propagation of waves in weak turbulence is the *Rytov* method, which writes the field of the wave in the form

$$E(r) = e^{\psi(r)}, \quad (6)$$

and develops a series solution to E in the form

$$E = \exp(\psi_0 + \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \dots). \quad (7)$$

The contribution from the term φ_1 is the first approximation to the effect of the random medium through which the wave passes. This term is usually written as

$$\psi_1(r) = \chi + iS_1, \quad (8)$$

5 where x represents the first order fluctuation of the log of the amplitude of the field and S_1 is the first wave order phase fluctuation. The Rytov solution for the intensity fluctuations of a plane wave, when the turbulence is sufficiently weak, gives a variance for the log intensity fluctuations of

$$\sigma_{\ln I_R}^2 = \langle (\ln I - \langle \ln I \rangle)^2 \rangle = 4 \sigma_\chi^2 = 1.23 C_n^2 k^{7/6} L^{11/6} \quad (9)$$

10 The notation here indicates that this is a variance of log intensity fluctuations: the R subscript emphasizes that this variance holds in the *Rytov* regime, where the turbulence is weak. When the turbulence is not weak, it is still possible to refer to the Rytov variance, as calculated from Eq.(9), but this calculated variance will not agree with the measured variance. It is easy to show that the variance of the log normalized intensity variations $\ln(I/\langle I \rangle)$ is also equal to $\sigma_{\ln I_R}^2$. Eq.(9) predicts

15 that as the strength of turbulence or the range L increase that the variance of the log intensity fluctuations increases without limit. In practice, the variance of the log intensity fluctuations is found to saturate as the turbulence increases, and then decrease slowly as the turbulence becomes even larger. In practice the Rytov method only predicts the correct variance provided $\sigma_{\ln I_R}^2 < 0.3$. When this

20 condition holds true the turbulence is said to be *weak*. For weak turbulence $\langle (L/\langle I \rangle)^2 - 1 \rangle \ll 1$. The turbulence is relatively "strong" for $\sigma_{\ln I_R}^2 > 1$, although true strong turbulence may require $\sigma_{\ln I_R}^2$ to be larger than 25. A second important parameter is the variance of the normalized intensity fluctuations, which is

$$\sigma_I^2 = \frac{\langle I^2 \rangle - \langle I \rangle^2}{\langle I \rangle^2} \quad (10)$$

25 For weak turbulence the Rytov variance can be re-written as

-27-

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\ln I_R}^2 &= \left\langle \left(\ln \left(\frac{I}{\langle I \rangle} \right) \right)^2 \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \left(1 - \frac{I}{\langle I \rangle} \right)^2 \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\langle I^2 \rangle - \langle I \rangle^2}{\langle I \rangle^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Consequently, in weak turbulence

$$\sigma_{\ln I_R}^2 = \sigma_I^2. \tag{12}$$

5 In other words, if the intensity variance is observed under conditions of weak turbulence it will be identical to the variance of the log intensity.

The Normal Distribution of Log Amplitude Fluctuations

10 It is found experimentally that for both weak turbulence, and for strong turbulence that satisfies the condition $25 < \sigma_{\ln I_R}^2 < 100$, that the distribution of log field amplitude is a normal distribution, as are the log intensity variations measured at a point. In other words a point detector will see a distribution of log intensities that satisfies

$$p(\ln I) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_{\ln I}^2)^{1/2}} \exp \left[-\frac{(\ln I - \langle \ln I \rangle)^2}{2\sigma_{\ln I}^2} \right], \tag{13}$$

where $\langle \ln I \rangle$ is the average value of $\ln I$. If in Eq.(12) one uses normalized intensities, and write $i = I/\langle I \rangle$, then $l = \ln i$ and the distribution of l is also [1]

$$15 \quad p(l) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_l^2)^{1/2}} \exp \left[-\frac{(l - \langle l \rangle)^2}{2\sigma_l^2} \right], \tag{14}$$

-28-

where $\sigma_I^2 = \sigma_{\ln I_R}^2$. This notation is chosen by the inventor to be consistent with that used by Yura and McKinley (Yura, H.T. and McKinley, W.G., *Appl. Opt.* 22:3353-3358 (1983)).

5 If a random variable z is normally distributed, then a standard result from statistical theory is that the average of $\exp(z)$ is

$$\langle \exp(z) \rangle = \exp \left[\langle z \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle (z - \langle z \rangle)^2 \rangle \right]. \quad (15)$$

Consequently,

$$\langle \exp(\ln I) \rangle = \exp \left[\langle \ln I \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\ln I}^2 \right], \quad (16)$$

which gives

$$10 \quad \langle I \rangle = \exp \left[\langle \ln I \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\ln I}^2 \right], \quad (17)$$

and

$$\ln \langle I \rangle = \langle \ln I \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\ln I}^2 \quad (18)$$

Eq.(18) can be written as

$$\ln \langle I \rangle = \langle I \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\ln I}^2, \quad (19)$$

15 which if $\langle I \rangle = 1$ means that $\ln I = 0$ and

$$\langle I \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\ln I}^2 \quad (20)$$

Consequently, the probability distribution for log intensity and log (normalized intensity) is

$$p(I) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_I^2)^{1/2}} \exp \left[-\frac{(I + (1/2)\sigma_I^2)^2}{2\sigma_I^2} \right]. \quad (21)$$

One can change Eq.(21) into the distribution for normalized intensity i by noting that $d(\ln i) = di/i$ and get

$$p(i) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_i^2)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{i} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma_i^2} \left(\ln i + \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \sigma_i^2 \right)^2 \right]. \quad (22)$$

If the variance of the log intensity fluctuations is measured, then Eq.(22) can be used to predict the likelihood of received signal level falling below a specified value. In an optical communication link, if the normalized signal level falls below some specified value i_0 this can be characterized as a *fade*. Yura and McKinley (Yura, H.T. and McKinley, W.G., *Appl. Opt.* 22:3353-3358 (1983)) give an expression for the fraction of time that a link will be in an example *fade* condition. This is

$$\text{frac}(i \leq i_0) = \text{Probability}(i \leq i_0) = \text{Probability}(i \leq i_0), \quad (23)$$

which can be calculated from

$$\text{frac}(i \leq i_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_i^2)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\ln i_0} \exp \left[-\frac{(l + (1/2)\sigma_i^2)^2}{2\sigma_i^2} \right] dl. \quad (24)$$

which gives

$$\text{frac}(i \leq i_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 + \text{erf} \left[\frac{(\ln i_0 + (1/2)\sigma_i^2)}{\sigma_i} \right] \right\} \quad (25)$$

where erf is the error function. The variance to be used in Eq.(25) is the actual variance observed for the link (assuming that fluctuations remain in log normal), and would be related to C_n^2 by Eq.(9) in the case of sufficiently weak turbulence.

Since the average intensity in this discussion is normalized to one, then in general an example fade (not intended to limit the present invention) can be considered to correspond to $i_0 < 1$, and if the power margin of the link is sufficiently large $i_0 \ll 1$. If the optical power margin for a given bit-error-rate (BER) is M dB, then $10 \log_{10}(1/i_0) = M$ and $\ln i_0 = -0.23025M$.

For a BER of 10^{-9} this requires an input optical signal 11.89 times higher than the noise equivalent power (NEP) of the receiver. The NEP is usually

defined as the optical input power that provides a signal equal to the noise. This will depend on bandwidth, which itself varies for a given bit rate depending on the precise modulation scheme used. For example, a return-to-zero (RZ) format requires double the bandwidth of a non-return-to-zero format (NRZ). The bandwidth requirement can also increase if error correction is incorporated into the data system.

Note that for log intensity variance values > 0.3 , the log intensity variance cannot strictly be related to the turbulence level C_n^2 by Eq.(9). Because the log intensity variance saturates as the turbulence becomes strong, strong turbulence will not lead to as many fades as might be predicted using Eq.(9).

When delayed diversity according to the present invention is incorporated into this theory, then 2-fold diversity fading is calculated from $frac^2$, and 4-fold diversity fading from $frac^4$.

FIG. 7A shows some calculations from Eq. (25). The figure shows the fraction of the time that a fade of a given depth will occur for various levels of intensity variance. However, even though the fading characteristics on the link can be calculated in this way, this does not directly predict what will happen to the BER.

Bit Error Rates on a Fading Link

The atmosphere fluctuates relatively slowly: roughly speaking there is not much fluctuation on time scales below about 1ms. Consequently, at least at high data rates, large numbers of bits are transmitted through a channel that is in a "frozen" state, but for successive groups of bits the characteristics of the channel slowly change. Consequently, the BER is constantly changing. However, by averaging over the appropriate intensity distribution function, and using the function describing the probability of making an error in detecting a "one" an average BER can be calculated for different log intensity variances. To keep the discussion relatively simple a RZ format is used for the transmitted data, although it is straightforward to modify the discussion for other schemes.

5 In the absence of turbulence the received detector signal corresponding to a "one" has a steady value $\langle I \rangle$, and normalized received signal is 1. In this case the BER can be calculated by assuming that errors result from received noise, which is assumed to be Gaussian distributed about zero. For a detector whose noise is Gaussian distributed about zero with a variance $\langle i_N^2 \rangle$ the probability that the noise is *below* a set level i_s is

$$P(i_N \leq i_s) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{i_s} e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2} dx, \quad (26)$$

where $\sigma^2 = \langle i_N^2 \rangle$. The signal-to-noise ratio is

$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{\langle I \rangle^2}{\sigma^2}. \quad (27)$$

10 If the decision level of detecting a "one" is set at a received intensity value of I/x , then a "one" error results if the detector noise $i_N < -I(1 - 1/x)$. On the other hand, for a received "zero" error results if $i_N > I/x$.

On average, a binary bit stream contains an equal number of "ones" and "zeros" so the overall probability of error is

15
$$P_e = \frac{1}{2} \left[P(i_N < -I(1 - 1/x)) + P(i_N > I/x) \right], \quad (28)$$

which gives

$$P_e = \frac{1}{2\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-I(1-1/x)} e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2} dx + \int_{I/x}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2} dx \right) \quad (29)$$

which becomes

$$P_e = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{(1-1/x)}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{S}{N}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{1}{x\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{S}{N}} \right) \right]. \quad (30)$$

The minimum BER results in this case for a decision level setting corresponding to $x = 2$: the decision level lies halfway between the signal levels corresponding to a "one" and a "zero". The BER is a function of S/N is shown for this case in Fig. (7B). For a BER of 10^{-9} the S/N ratio must be 141, or 21.5dB, which requires an input optical signal 11.89 times higher than the noise equivalent power (NEP). The NEP is usually defined as the optical input power that provides a signal equal to the noise. This will depend on bandwidth, which itself varies for a given bit rate depending on the precise modulation scheme used. For example, a return-to-zero (RZ) format requires double the bandwidth of a non-return-to-zero format (NRZ). The bandwidth requirement can also increase if error correction is incorporated into the data stream.

When the received signal fluctuates because of the effects of atmospheric turbulence the BER changes. One can still use a S/N ratio defined according to Eq. (27), but $\langle I \rangle$ is now the average signal corresponding to a received "one". In this case, at any instant the actual receive S/N ratio will rise or fall depending on whether the turbulence causes a "fade" or a "surge". The probability of a "zero" error does not change because there is no received signal when a "zero" arrives and can be written as

$$P_{zero} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{1}{x\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{S}{N}} \right). \quad (31)$$

However, the probability of a "one" error is now

$$P_{one} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \left(i - \frac{1}{x} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{S}{N}}, \quad (32)$$

where i is the detector signal corresponding to the actual received normalized intensity.

To compute the average BER, the product of the probability of a "one" error and the probability distribution for the normalized intensity must be integrated over all possible intensity values. This gives

$$\langle BER \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(i - \frac{1}{x}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{S}{N}} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_i^2)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{i} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma_i^2} \left(\ln i + (1/2)\sigma_i^2\right)^2\right] di \quad (33)$$

The Role of the Threshold Detection Value

When there is no fading on the link, minimum BER is obtained by setting the detection threshold for a "one" half way between 0 and the received signal level when a "one" is actually received. In this case noise makes equal contributions to errors where "ones" are detected as "zeros" and vice versa. If the threshold level is raised above a normalized value of $\frac{1}{2}$, then the probability of "zero" errors decreases, by the probability of "one" errors rises. If the threshold level is set below a normalized value of $\frac{1}{2}$, then the probability of "one" errors falls, but the probability of "zero" errors rises.

When the received signal level fluctuates it can be advantageous to set the threshold level below the $\frac{1}{2}$ value. The number of "one" errors can be reduced in this case to a greater extent than the "zero" errors are increased. This will be apparent in results discussed below.

The Use of Delayed Diversity

If the average probability of a bit error is \bar{P}_e , then if the same bit stream is re-detected after a time longer than correlation times in the atmosphere, then the average probability of error in the next bit stream is also \bar{P}_e . Consequently, the joint probability of error when the diversity approach is used $(\bar{P}_e)^2$.

Results

Figs. (7C) to (7F) show calculations of BER for different levels of intensity variance, and for different settings of the threshold setting for detection of a "one". It is clear that delayed diversity can significantly improve the performance of the link, especially as the turbulence gets stronger. Changing the threshold detection value from the $\frac{1}{2}$ value can provide a significant improvement in bit-error-rate performance, provided the link has adequate power margin. This is likely to be an adjustment that would, ideally, be made dynamically with a "smart" system that can spot the change in error performance.

FIG. 7G shows experimental data from experiments carried out on the University of Maryland 1km test range. A low frequency modulated bit stream was produced with a chopping wheel. Two orthogonally polarized beams were transmitted downrange and detected independently with a receiver system incorporating two photodiodes and a polarizing beam splitter. The receiver had an aperture of 30mm. The laser beam was expanded at the transmitter to approximately 30mm diameter, and was allowed to diverge as it propagated downrange. The beam diameter at the receiver was on the order of 2m, which eliminated any need for beam steering or tracking. During the day the centroid of the received beam wandered about, either because of temperature variations, or because of some mechanical instability of the transmitter. The bit streams were analyzed numerically to compute the probability of error in each data stream independently, and then the delayed diversity improvement in the error rate was computed by comparing each modulated data stream with a delayed version of itself. It appears that, at least for the atmospheric conditions encountered, there is a significant reduction in error for time delays beyond 5ms, but that there is not much further improvement as the delay is increased beyond 10ms. FIGs. 7H-7N show additional data recorded at the University of Maryland test range. These data show both C^2_n variations during the course of the day on various occasions, time varying background light levels, intensity histograms, and the consistent reduction in fading that can be achieved with the delayed diversity approach.

Aperture Averaging

In the practical operation of a line-of-sight communication link, a receiver will collect part of the wavefront that has been transmitted down range through the turbulent atmosphere. If the receiver has a small collection area then the variance of the intensity that it will see is determined by the range length L , and the turbulence level as discussed above. An assessment must be made for a given range / wavelength / C_n^2 scenario whether the turbulence is weak or strong, and then the expected intensity variance calculated. Or, this may be carried out in reverse: the intensity variance is measured, and then the performance of the link can be assessed. If the area of the receiver is increased, then the intensity variance decreases. This is to be expected, as in the limit, a sufficiently large detector will collect all the transmitted light, and no atmospheric turbulence induced intensity variations should be seen. The effect of the receiver size on the intensity variance is called aperture averaging. Tatarski has given an expression that allows the aperture averaging effect to be calculated from the correlation function $b_r(\rho)$ of the normalized intensity. His result is

$$G(D) = \frac{16}{\pi D^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{b_r(\rho)}{b_r(0)} K(\rho) \rho d\rho, \quad (34)$$

where D is the diameter of the receiver aperture, and

$$K(\rho) = \arccos(\rho/D) - (\rho/D) \left[1 - (\rho^2/D^2) \right]^{1/2}. \quad (35)$$

$G(D)$ represents the intensity variation seen with the actual receiver relative to a point receiver. In practice, at range L , a receiver whose diameter satisfies $D \ll \sqrt{\lambda L}$ will behave as a point receiver.

Aperture averaging reduces the intensity variance monotonically with increasing aperture size, but its actual effectiveness depends strongly on the

strength of the turbulence. Figs. (7O) and (7P) show aperture averaging calculations for the following optical link conditions: a 1.3 μ m laser and a 1km range. Fig. (7O) shows aperture averaging for three different degrees of "weak" turbulence, specified by the effective C^2_n calculated from Eq. (9). Fig. (7P) shows aperture averaging for three different degrees of "strong" turbulence.

Note from Fig. (7O) that there are not significant differences in aperture averaging at this range under conditions of weak turbulence. At a receiver diameter of 65mm the aperture averaging reduces the variance of the receive intensity by a factor of 10. This would cause a drastic reduction in the fractional fade characteristics of an optical link, as can be seen very easily from Fig. (7A). For example, without aperture averaging a 10dB fade will occur about 10^{-4} of the time with an intensity variance of 0.3. With aperture averaging that reduces this variance to 0.03, the fractional time of a 10dB fade falls to a totally negligible value. The curve corresponding to $C^2_n = 10^{-13}$ in Fig. (7O) does not reflect the true aperture averaging that would occur, because this level of turbulence does not fall within the definition of "weak". For this level of turbulence Fig. (7P) is more appropriate, as these curves are calculated using the "strong" turbulence correlation function. For a C^2_n value of 10^{-13} aperture averaging will reduce the intensity variance by a factor of 0.2 at a receiver diameter of approximately 65mm. Note that as the turbulence becomes more severe, aperture averaging becomes more effective in reducing the intensity variance *up to a point*. Significant aperture averaging kicks in at very small receiver diameter, but there is a long tail. This is a practical manifestation of the so-called "salt and pepper" effect, in which strong turbulence scrambles the beam sufficiently that it becomes almost homogeneous and reduces the intensity variance. Fig. (7P) shows, for example, that for $C^2_n = 10^{-12}$ a factor of 5 aperture averaging results for a receiver diameter of only about 15mm, but that a receiver diameter of about 100mm is necessary for an aperture averaging factor of 10. It can be concluded that aperture averaging in both weak and strong turbulence, for the 1km range and 1.3 μ m wavelength considered here, can give a factor of 5 reduction in intensity variance for receiver diameters below about 45mm, but that to achieve a factor of 10 might

require a diameter as large as 100mm. In general terms, in weak turbulence aperture averaging is quite effective for $D > \sqrt{\lambda L}$, while in strong turbulence it is quite effective for $D > 0.36 \sqrt{\lambda L} \left(\sigma_{\ln I_r}^2 \right)^{-3/5}$ (See, Fante, R. W., *Proc. IEEE* 63:1669-1692 (1975)).

5

Conclusion

While various embodiments of the present invention have been described above, it should be understood that they have been presented by way of example only, and not limitation. It will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes in form and details may be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims. Thus, the breadth and scope of the present invention should not be limited by any of the above-described exemplary embodiments, but should be defined only in accordance with the following claims and their equivalents.

What Is Claimed Is:

1. A method for optical wireless communication, comprising the steps of:
receiving a source data signal having data;
creating a set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals;
converting the set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals to obtain corresponding a set of temporally distinguishable light signals, each light signal having a modulation representation of the data from the source data signal;
whereby, the set of light signals can pass through uncorrelated channels in a turbulent medium.
2. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of:
transmitting the set of temporally distinguishable light signals in a single output transmission beam through a turbulent medium.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein said set of temporally distinguishable light signals comprise a set of delayed, diverse light signals, and wherein:
said creating step comprises the steps of creating at least one duplicate of the source data signal and delaying the created duplicate signal to obtain the set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals having a non-delayed transmission signal and at least one delayed transmission signal; and
said converting step comprises the steps of generating a set of delayed, diverse light signals in response to the set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals, wherein the set of delayed, diverse light signals includes a first light signal a non-delayed transmission signal and at least one delayed transmission signal.
4. The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of:
receiving the single output transmission beam after it has passed through a turbulent medium;

detecting temporally distinguishable light signals within the received single output transmission beam to obtain corresponding temporally distinguishable data signals;

temporally adjusting each temporally distinguishable data signals obtained in said detecting step; and

logically evaluating bits in each of said temporally adjusted temporally distinguishable data signals to obtain a single output data signal.

5. A system for optical wireless communication, comprising:

means for receiving a source data signal having data;

means for creating a set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals;

means for converting the set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals to obtain corresponding a set of temporally distinguishable light signals, each light signal having a modulation representation of the data from the source data signal; whereby, the set of light signals can pass through uncorrelated channels in a turbulent medium.

6. The system of claim 5, further comprising

means for transmitting the set of temporally distinguishable light signals in a single output transmission beam through a turbulent medium.

7. The system of claim 6, wherein said set of temporally distinguishable light signals comprise a set of delayed, diverse light signals, and wherein:

said creating means comprises means for creating at least one duplicate of the source data signal and delaying the created duplicate signal to obtain the set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals having a non-delayed transmission signal and at least one delayed transmission signal; and

said converting means comprises means for generating a set of delayed, diverse light signals in response to the set of temporally distinguishable transmission signals, wherein the set of delayed, diverse light signals includes a

first light signal a non-delayed transmission signal and at least one delayed transmission signal.




8. The system of claim 6, further comprising:


means for receiving the single output transmission beam after it has passed through a turbulent medium;

means for detecting temporally distinguishable light signals within the received single output transmission beam to obtain corresponding temporally distinguishable data signals;

means for temporally adjusting each temporally distinguishable data signals obtained in said detecting step; and

means for logically evaluating bits in each of said temporally adjusted temporally distinguishable data signals to obtain a single output data signal.

**FIG. 1A****FIG. 1B****FIG. 1C**

**FIG. 2**




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 5A

502





FIG. 5B

**FIG. 6**

Fractional Fade Time




FIG. 7A

BER AS A FUNCTION OF THRESHOLD SETTING




FIG. 7B

BER AT DIFFERENT LOG INTENSITY VARIANCE VALUES




FIG. 7C

BER AT DIFFERENT LOG INTENSITY VARIANCE VALUES

With and without delayed diversity, threshold set at 1/2




FIG. 7D

BER AT DIFFERENT THRESHOLD VALUES




Fig 7E

BER AT DIFFERENT THRESHOLD VALUES








FIG. 7F

Foggy Day 11/24/99

Top: Time variation of C_n^2 on November 24, 1999

Bottom: Fade behavior for different diversity delays. Each delay period was 5ms. Fade behavior was computed for the time window indicated by the cursors in the top panel


FIG. 7G



Top: Time variation of C_n^2 on August 3, 1999

Bottom: Fade behavior for different diversity delays. Each delay period was 5ms. Fade behavior was computed for the time window indicated by the cursors in the top panel

FIG. 7H




Top: Intensity histograms averaged over a short time at (a) 16:35:53, 8/3/99; (b) 04:02:16, 8/3/99; (c) 09:11:27, 8/4/99.

Middle: Background light level



Bottom: $\log(C_n^2)$

FIG. 7I



24 hour measurement of C_n^2 , 7/29/99. Transmitter (TX) was turned off after a power failure on the roof of the main Engineering Building.



FIG. 7J



Top: Time variation of C_n^2 on July 28, 1999

Bottom: Fade behavior for different diversity delays. Each delay period was 5ms. Fade behavior was computed for the time window indicated by the cursors in the top panel!



FIG. 7K



Top: Time variation of C_n^2 on July 28, 1999

Bottom: Fade behavior for different diversity delays. Each delay period was 5ms. Fade behavior was computed for the time window indicated by the cursors in the top panel


FIG. 7L



Top: Time variation of C_n^2 on July 28, 1999


Bottom: Fade behavior for different diversity delays. Each delay period was 5ms. behavior was computed for the time window indicated by the cursors in the top panel

FIG. 7M



24 hour measurement of background light level, 7/28/99

Time of Day



24 hour measurement of C_n^2 , 7/28/99.

Time of Day

FIG. 7N

WEAK TURBULENCE APERTURE AVERAGING

1km Range, 1.3 micrometers, Cn2=1e-13,1e-14,1e-15,1e-16




FIG. 70

STRONG TURBULENCE APERTURE AVERAGING
1km Range, 1.3 micrometers, $C_n^2=1e-12, 1e-13, 1e-14$




FIG. 7P

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
25 January 2001 (25.01.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/06663 A3

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **H04B 10/00**,
10/12, 10/10, 10/18, 17/00

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/18200

(22) International Filing Date: 30 June 2000 (30.06.2000)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/141,587 30 June 1999 (30.06.1999) US

(71) Applicant and

(72) Inventor: DAVIS, Christopher, C. [US/US]; 6400 Grason
Terrace, Bowie, MD 20715 (US).

(74) Agents: SOKOHL, Robert, E. et al.; Sterne, Kessler,
Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C., Suite 600, 1100 New York Av-
enue, N.W., Washington, DC 20005-3934 (US).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ,

DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR,
HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR,
LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ,
NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM,
TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian
patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European
patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE,
IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG,
CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).


Published:

— with international search report

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:
9 August 2001

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR OPTICAL WIRELESS COMMUNICATION



(57) Abstract: A system and method for optical wireless communication with fading resistance. A delayed diversity approach reduces fading significantly. Data is sent in a set of light signals (also called diverse light signals) which each have a different polarization and/or a different wavelength. The diverse light signals are also temporally different in that they are transmitted in a delayed fashion with respect to one another (Figure 3A). In this way, each light signal is carried over a different, uncorrelated "channel" through the atmosphere. At a receiver, original data in each received light signals is temporally adjusted and combined into a single output data signal (Figure 4B). The accuracy of each bit in the output data signal is then due to the reception of the combined diverse light signals. In this way, even if fading occurs in one channel (effecting one diverse light signal), a combined output data signal can still be generated at relatively high accuracy from the original data carried in one or more of the other diverse light signals.

WO 01/06663 A3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US00/18200

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : H04B 10/00, 10/12, 10/10, 10/18, 17/00

US CL : 359/140, 138, 135, 158, 154

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 359/140, 138, 135, 158, 154

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5,515,438 A (BENNETT et al) 07 May 1996, Figure 3	1-8
Y	US 5,675,648 A (TOWNSEND) 07 October 1997, Figure 4	1-8
Y	US 5,793,509 A (PROCTOR et al) 11 August 1998, Figure 2	1-8
Y	US 5,757,912 A (BLOW) 26 May 1998, Figure 5a	1-8
Y	US 4,809,257 A (GANTENBEIN et al) 28 February 1989	1-8
Y	US 5,557,443 A (NAKAMURA et al) 17 September 1996, Figure 1	1-8
Y	US 5,737,366 A (GEHLOT) 07 April 1998, abstract	1-8

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T"

later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y"

document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&"

document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

24 January 2001 (24.01.2001)

Date of mailing of the international search report

15 FEB 2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Authorized officer

Jason Chan

Telephone No. (703)305-3900